UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,270	01/05/2006	Yukio Kuramasu	96790P513	3356	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAMINER		
			VANCHY JR, MICHAEL J		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/560,270	KURAMASU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL VANCHY JR	2624	

	MICHAEL VANCHY JR	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8.11.13.14 and 16-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Il be entered and an ex	oplanation of			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Displaceure Statement(s).</li> </ul>		a condition for allowand	ce pecause:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	P10/58/08) Paper No(\$)					
/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Michael Vanchy Jr./ Examiner, Art Unit 2624					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner does not find the Applicant's argument persuasive. The Applicant argues that none of the cited references (specifically Tobin, US 5,982,920) teach detecting inclusions within aluminum. The Office Action had a typographical error, where the cited teachings for Tobin stated (col. 7, lines 1-15) it should have stated (col. 7, lines 16-25). However, the Applicant stated that the teaching couldn't be found any place within the Tobin reference. The cited lines clearly state, "For example, it could be used to detect defects (similar to semiconductor defect creation mechanisms) in flat panel display manufacturing; inclusions and pinch roller damage defects in continuously formed sheet steel and aluminum." Thus, the Examiner upholds the previous rejection.